Liber W. C. Court upon a bare Allegacon discharges the sheriffe and Dismisses the accon and the ptt there Remidilesse

2 Mr Hamiltons affirmacon to the Court being not upon oath, w^{ch} is rec^d as Evidence by the Court) ought not to have beene Soe received) but the Court ought to have Judged him according to the Returne of his writt and Proceeded to Amerce him & hee speakes in his owne cause to Excuse the Sheriffe from his being Amerced w^{ch} is Extra Judiciall and Illegall and Could noe way discharge him in not bringing his Prissoner to appeare according to his Returne of the Writt.

p. 174 3^{dly.} The allegation of Mr Hamilton that Perfitt was ready to pay if they would bring a bagg, Could in noe wise bee Admitted as Evidence agt Vanswearingen or for the Defendt Perfitt, There being no appearance and soe noe issue Could bee Joyned, and without that the Court Could not proceed to try the Cause, but the defendt ought to have appeared and put himselfe upon the Issue. that hee was ready to pay and had tendered the Tobacco According to his obligation weh was to bee in Caske, and that being matter of ffact it ought to have beene Tryed by a Jury for ad questionem Legis Respondent Judices as Questionem fact[i] respondent Juratores, And had the Court power att that time to have taken Cognizance of what Hamilton sayed, Yet all hee sayed Could not warrant That Illegall and Extrajudiciall Order of dismission for That the Defendt was ready to pay the Debt and had made Tender of the debt as the Order sayes, went was false, Therefore the said Garret saith the said Record of the proceedings and Judgment aforesaid of the County Court aforesaid are Very Illegall Arbitrary and Erronious, And he prayeth that the said Judgment of the County Court may bee Reversed and sett aside & that hee may have Judgment for his Debt damages and Costs.

And the said William Perfitt by Henry Bonner his Attorney Prayed the hearing of the Errors aforesaid, and prayed Leave to speake to the same till the next Provinciall Court, and itt is granted unto him the same day is given to both parties

Att Which said next Provinciall Court to witt the ffifteenth day of May in the fifth yeare of the Dominion of the Rth honoble Charles Lord Baltemore &c Annoq Domini 1680 Came the said parties by theire Attorneys aforesaid and the said William by his said Attorney sayth. That the Judgment aforesd in the writt of Error mentioned Given by the said Court is good and effectuall in Lawe and ought not to be Reversed, for the Reasons above alleadged because hee saith 1st As to the first reason that the defendt was Lame and not able to make his personall appeareance in Court That the Sheriffe ought to have Returned a Languidus, This defendt saith that whether the Sheriffe bee soe bound or noe is not anything to him or his cause materiall the sheriffe being to Answere himself for what returne